

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE                        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO.  |  |  |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 10/572,895  | 03/20/2006                         | Yukiyo Sekimoto      | SAEG125.003APC      | 1331              |  |  |
| 20995<br>KNOBBE MA                                      | 7590 10/02/200<br>RTENS OLSON & BE | EXAM                 | EXAMINER            |                   |  |  |
| 2040 MAIN STREET<br>FOURTEENTH FLOOR<br>IRVINE CA 92614 |                                    |                      | MELLER, N           | MELLER, MICHAEL V |  |  |
|   |                                    |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER      |  |  |
| ,,  |                                    | 1655                 |                     |                   |  |  |
|   |                                    |                      |                     |                   |  |  |
|   |                                    |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE     |  |  |
|   |                                    |                      | 10/03/2008          | EL ECTRONIC       |  |  |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.   | Applicant(s)    |  |  |
|-------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/572,895        | SEKIMOTO ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner          | Art Unit        |  |  |
| Michael V. Meller | 1655            |  |  |

|  | Michael V. Meller   | 1655   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the o   | correspondence add   | ress                                     |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 or CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ta   | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (<br>MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i  |   | FIRST REPLY WAS FI   | LED WITHIN TWO                           |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date<br>have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext<br>under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set<br>set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later<br>may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).<br>NOTICE OF APPEAL.  | ension and the corresponding amount<br>hortened statutory period for reply origi<br>than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |  |  |  |  |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp.   | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be  | filed within two months                                    | s of the date of                         |  |  |  |  |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi   | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u>  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further core</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed)</li> </ul> </li> </ol>  | sideration and/or search (see NO  |  | cause                                    |  |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or  |   | ducing or simplifying th                                   | ne issues for                            |  |  |  |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c<br>NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   | corresponding number of finally reje  | ected claims.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   | 21 See attached Notice of Non-Co  | mnliant Amendment (  | PTOL -324)                               |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |   | inpliant / inonamont (i                                    | TOL OL+).                                |  |  |  |  |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  |   | imely filed amendmer                                       | nt canceling the                         |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [     how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the proposed amendment of the proposed ame</li></ol> |   | I be entered and an e                                      | xplanation of                            |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .<br>Claim(s) objected to: <u></u> .   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected to:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7.8.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>   | vercome all rejections under appea  | al and/or appellant fail:                                  | s to provide a                           |  |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after er  | ntry is below or attach                                    | ed.                                      |  |  |  |  |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but of the reasons of record.  | does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowan                                      | ce because:                              |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Other:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | /Michael V. Meller/<br>Primary Examiner, Art U  | nit 1655   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |